FTIP ID# ( <u>requ</u>	FTIP ID# ( <u>required</u> ) LA0G1706 (FTIP Amendment 25-00)					
TCWG Consid	leration Date: Ma	rch 25, 2025				
The Proposed lane at the exis 1). The Project traffic signal (in	sting eastbound str will also relocate including the push b	construction eet of E Was the existing s outton), reloc	of approximately shington Boulevar storm drain catch l ate electrical lines	d at Tel basin, n s, upgra	ot-long, 13-foot-wide egraph Road (See A nodify and upgrade t de the traffic loop de valkway lines and tra	ttachment he existing tector, adjust
Type of Proje	<b>ct</b> (use Table 1 on in	struction shee	et)			
Intersection ch	annelization					
<b>County</b> LA	E Washington Bo	ulevard and	· · ·		orner of the intersec 24-5362(034)	tion between
Lead Agency:	City of Commerc	e	-			
Contact Perso Gina Nila, Pub		<b>10ne#</b> 23) 722-4805	5 ext. 2839	#		Email
Hot Spot Pollu	utant of Concern	(Check one or	both) PM2.5 X		PM10 X	
Federal Action	n for which Proje	ct-Level PM	Conformity is No	eeded (	Check appropriate box	)
Categorical EA or EONSI or Final PS&E or			Other			
Scheduled Date of Federal Action:						
NEPA Assignment – Project Type (check appropriate box)						
ExemptXSection 326 –Categorical ExemptionSection 327 – Non- Categorical Exemption						
Current Programming Dates (as appropriate)						
	PE/Enviror	mental	ENG		ROW	CON
Start						6/2/2025
End						7/30/2025

**Project Purpose and Need (Summary):** (attach additional sheets as necessary) The goal of the proposed Washington Boulevard Widening Project (Project) located at the intersection of E Washington Boulevard and Telegraph Road in Commerce, CA, is to improve the capacity efficiency and reduce congestion for eastbound traffic along E Washington Boulevard.

In 2014, TransTech Engineers, Inc. (TransTech), collected data regarding existing roadway conditions, turning movement, traffic volumes, signal phasing, and traffic collisions. Collision data was obtained for the years 2010, 2011, and 2012. Turning movement counts were collected for peak AM and PM hours. The relationship between capacity and traffic volumes for a roadway segment are expressed in terms of levels of service (LOS). The intersection's LOS classification was determined to be an E which corresponds to a roadway nearing absolute capacity. A complete description of an LOS E classification from the Transportation Research Board's Special Report 209, *Highway Capacity Manual*, is as follows: "Capacity occurs at the upper end of this service level. It represents the most vehicles that any particular intersection can accommodate. Full utilization of every signal cycle is seldom attained no matter how great the demand."

The analysis concluded that adding an exclusive right-turn lane to the eastbound segment of E Washington Boulevard provided the best levels of operation for the flow of traffic in the intersection. TransTech recommended maintaining two eastbound thru lanes on E Washington Boulevard which necessitates the widening of the eastbound curb lane. (Refer to Traffic Report in Attachment 2).

Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators (especially effect on diesel traffic)

Adjacent land uses include fast food restaurants, commercial retails, and light industrial. There are no residential land uses or any other sensitive receptor land uses within 500 feet of a small project area. The E. Washington Boulevard and Telegraph Road intersection is a signalized intersection located in an industrial area that serves as a commuter road for passenger vehicles and large axle trucks.

Land uses east of Telegraph Road and south of E. Washington Blvd include commercial retails, restaurants, and light industrial uses.

Land uses west of Telegraph Road and south of E. Washington Blvd include office, commercial, and light industrial uses. hotel, restaurants, gas stations, car dealership lots, and office uses.

Land uses east of Telegraph Road and north of E. Washington Blvd include casino/hotel with small ball rooms/convention center rooms, and light industrial uses. A fast food restaurant (Chick-Fla-A) is being proposed on the existing vacant parking lot at the northeast corner of the intersection.

Land uses west of Telegraph Road and north of E. Washington Blvd include municipal water district, light industrial uses, single-family residences (located more than 1,560 feet from the project site), and commercial retail uses.

Opening Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

N/A

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

N/A

# Opening Year: If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

The traffic analysis is based on the Existing Year and Opening Year conditions because it provides the most relevant and representative data for evaluating near-term air quality impacts. Overall vehicle LOS, V/C, AADT, truck AADT, and truck percentages for Existing and Opening Year No Build and Build Scenarios are summarized in Table 1.

Washington Blvd at Telegraph Road	Level of Services (LOS)		Volume to Capacity Ratio (V/C)		Annual Average Daily Trips (AADT)		
Scenario	АМ	РМ	АМ	РМ	Total	Truck	% Truck
Baseline Year	В	С	0.691	0.748	42,260	4,530	10.72
No Build (Option 1) Opening Year	E	E	0.935	0.969	42,260	4,530	10.72
Build (Option 2) Opening Year	В	В	0.688	0.660	42,260	4,530	10.72
Change from No Build Conditions	Improve LOS	Improve LOS	Improve V/C	Improve V/C	0	0	0

### **Table 1. Summary of Traffic Conditions**

**Notes:** Existing Baseline roadway configuration of EB lanes on E. Washington Blvd: 2 exclusive left-turn (LT) lanes, 1 EB Through lane, and 1 EB Shared Through and RT lane.

Option 1 configuration with existing number of EB lanes on E. Washington Blvd: 2 EB LT lanes, 1 EB Through lane and 1 EB exclusive RT lane.

Option 2 will provide an additional lane with 2 EB LT lanes, 2 EB through lanes and 1 EB exclusive rightturn lane.

#### RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build crossstreet AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

The proposed project is already accounted for in SCAG's 2024 RTP/SCS modeling, the regional conformity determination inherently addresses longer-term emissions impacts. According to the traffic report, the proposed project does not introduce significant long-term capacity increases, operational changes, or land use shifts that require a Horizon Year analysis.

### Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)

Table 1 identify a net difference of 0 for heavy truck traffic between the No-Build and Build conditions. This means that the projected number of heavy trucks on the road remains the same regardless of whether or not the proposed project is implemented. This can occur for several reasons:

1. Traffic Redistribution: The project would redistribute existing traffic rather than adding new traffic. For example, improvements would make the intersection more attractive, balancing out the overall traffic volume.

2. Capacity Constraints: The intersection is already operating at or near capacity, limiting the potential for additional heavy truck traffic.

3. Economic Factors: The local economy may not support an increase in heavy truck traffic as it is completely built out, which would keep the numbers stable.

Thereby there is a need to build the project to reduce delays, minimize points of vehicle interaction, reduce the risk of crashes, and lower emissions due to congested conditions with a Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) ratio of between 0.93 and 0.97. A V/C ratio of approximately 0.97 indicates that the heavy traffic volume is at near the top of the intersection's capacity, which typically leads to congested conditions. In such scenarios, the road operates under high-density conditions, where traffic flow is heavily restricted, and vehicles experience significant delays and stop-and-go movements.

### **Comments/Explanation/Details** (attach additional sheets as necessary)

Under 40 CFR 93.123(b) - PM10 and PM2.5 Hot Spots - the following 5 criteria are utilized to determine the potential for the proposed project to qualify as a Project of Air Quality Concern (POAQC):

# (i) New highway projects that have a significant number of diesel vehicles, and expanded highway projects that have a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles;

In comparison to no-build conditions, the proposed build alternative would not significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles operating within the project study area. Refer to Table 1.

# (ii) Projects affecting intersections that are at Level-of-Service D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles, or those that will change to Level-of-Service D, E, or F because of increased traffic volumes from a significant number of diesel vehicles related to the project;

As shown in Table 1, the proposed build alternative would not result in significant changes in intersection operations. Based on this information, the proposed build alternative would not significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles operating within the project study area.

# (iii) New bus and rail terminals and transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location;

The project is not a new or expanded bus or rail terminal, nor would the project adversely impact transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

# (iv) Expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points that significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location; and

The project is not a new or expanded bus or rail terminal, nor would the project adversely impact transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

# (v) Projects in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites which are identified in the PM10 or PM2.5 applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

The proposed build alternative is not located in nor would it affect locations, areas, or categories of sites that are identified in the PM2.5 and PM10 applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

For the reasons noted above, the proposed project would not be considered a POAQC.

# ATTACHMENT A – Project Site Map

# GENERAL NOTES

- UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION", LATEST EDITION WITH ALL CURRENT SUPPLEMENTS, STANDARD PLANS FOR PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION, LATEST EDITION, CALIFORNIA MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (CA MUTCD), LATEST EDITION, CALTRANS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITION, AND CALTRANS ALL APPLICABLE STANDARDS
- 2. PRIOR TO BEGINNING OF ANY WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF COMMERCE.
- 3. ALL WORK COVERED BY THIS PLAN SHALL BE INSPECTED BY THE CITY ENGINEER.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT (U.S.A.) 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE START OF WORK. UPON EXPOSING ANY UTILITY'S UNDERGROUND FACILITY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY UTILITY IMMEDIATELY.
- IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO LOCATE ALL UTILITIES OF EVERY NATURE, WHETHER SHOWN HEREON OR NOT, TO PROTECT THEM FROM DAMAGE WITHIN THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR THE TOTAL EXPENSE OF REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF UTILITIES DAMAGED BY OPERATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROSECUTION OF THE WORK.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT CONDUCT ANY OPERATIONS OR PERFORM ANY WORK PERTAINING TO THE PROJECT BETWEEN 5:00 P.M. AND 7:30 A.M. ON ANY DAY NOR ON SATURDAY, SUNDAY, HOLIDAY AT ANY TIME EXCEPT AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT AND RESTORE EXISTING UTILITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS AS PER SECTION 5-1. 5-2- AND 7-9 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. ALL MATERIALS REMOVED UNDER CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE REMOVED DISPOSED FROM THE JOBSITE UNLESS INSTRUCTED BY THE ENGINEER TO DO OTHERWISE.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE AND SECURE THE PROJECT AS WELL AS ADJOINING PROPERTIES DURING THE DURATION OF PROJECT.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS PER CA MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER.
- 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE 72-HOUR NOTIFICATION TO THE AFFECTED PROPERTIES, POLICE DEPARTMENT AND FIRE DEPARTMENT IN THE EVENT OF A CHANGE IN STREET CLOSURE TO TRAFFIC AND/OR PUBLIC SAFETY VEHICLES, PARKING RESTRICTION, AND ON EACH MONDAY DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD.
- 12. AS REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEER, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND OPERATE A SELF-LOADING MOTOR SWEEPER WITH SPRAY NOZZLES AT LEAST TWICE EACH WORKING DAY TO KEEP PAVED AREAS ACCEPTABLY CLEAN WHEREVER CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING RESTORATION INS INCOMPLETE.
- 13. ALL EXISTING PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE (P.C.C.) AND ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAWCUT, FULL DEPTH, TO A TRUE LINE WHERE NEW CONCRETE PAVEMENT IS TO JOIN.
- 14. IT SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY TO NOTIFY AND COORDINATE WITH ALL AFFECTED UTILITY PURVEYOR OF THE WORK NEEDED TO ADJUST AND/OR RELOCATE UTILITY FACILITIES AS NOTED ON THE PLAN.
- 15. THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF THE UTILITY COMPANIES AND THEIR CONTACTS:

FRANCISCO LA VERNE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON	(213) 598-1231
DUANE GREEN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY	(310) 687-2055
BRANDON JOCSON AT&T CALIFORNIA	(323) 229-9620
JESSE GONZALEZ CHARTER CABLE	(626) 430-3570
PHILLIP DELGADO CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE (CAL WATER)	(323) 263-4145
ROBERT FIGUEROA ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE	(562) 728-2321
EMILIO RODRIGUEZ MATRIX OIL	(562) 665-9255
JON GANZ LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT	(562) 908-4288 EXT. 2160
NANCY RODEHEFFER FIRE DEPARTMENT	(323) 890-4132

### INDEX OF PROJECT DRAWINGS

SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	TITLE SHEET
2	WASHINGTON BLVD STREET WIDENING IMPROVEMENT PLAN
3	SOUTHERLY QUADRANT – CURB RETURN ENLARGED PLAN
EXHIBIT A	TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATION PLAN (FOR REFERENCE ONLY) TELEGRAPH ROAD AT WASHINGTON BLVD


<b>NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR</b> APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN BY THE ENGINEER AND CITY ENGINEER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A REPRESENTATION AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE LOCATION OF OR THE EXISTENCE OR NONEXISTENCE OF ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITY PIPE OR STRUCTURE WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THIS PROJECT. THE EXISTENCE AND LOCATION OF	<ul> <li>9. ALL LANE LINES AT INTERSECTION APPROACHES AND DEPARTURES SHALL BEGIN AND END WITH 50-FEET (UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE PLAN) OF 6-INCH SOLID WHITE LINE UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY STRIPING. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LANE LINES TO INSURE TRAFFIC ACCESS THROUGH THE WORK ZONE.</li> <li>SEE SPECIAL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS FOR OTHER TRAFFIC CONTROL REQUIREMENTS.</li> </ol>	<b>CITY OF COMMERCE</b> PUBLIC WORKS & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITY PIPES OR STRUCTURES SHOWN ON THESE PLANS WERE OBTAINED BY A SEARCH OF AVAILABLE RECORDS.	NO. REVISIONS REVISED BY APPROVED BY DATE	PREPARED BY:	RT. LANE EB WASHINGTON BLVD STREET WIDENING TO SB TELEGRAPH RD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
Underground Service Alert Call: TOLL FREE 811	NO. C56508 EXPIRES 6-30-23	13367 BENSON AVE. CHINO, CA. 91710 (909) 595 8599       ELECTRONICALLY APPROVED BT:         JN 211006       DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS & DEV. SERVICES DATE	TITLE SHEET GENERAL NOTES, CONSTRUCTION NOTES VICINITY MAP, LOCATION MAP, INDEX OF PROJECT DRAWINGS, LEGEND
TWO WORKING DAYS BEFORE YOU DIG	OF CALIFOR	JOSEPH D. DE PERALTA, R.C.E. C056508 DATE	SHEET 1 OF 3 SHEETS DWG. NO.

# GENERAL SIGNING. MARKING AND STRIPING NOTES

- LATEST EDITION UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

- INSTALLATION.
- PROVISIONS OF THE CALTRANS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.
- RIBBON OR EXTRUDED METHODS ONLY (NO SPRAYING).

# CIPY OF COMMERCE RT. LANE EB WASHINGTON BLVD. STREET WIDENING TO SB TELEGRAPH RD



VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE

1. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CALTRANS STANDARD PLANS, AND CALTRANS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, AND CALIFORNIA MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (CAMUTCD), ALL

2. TRAFFIC STRIPING, RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS, REFLECTIVE MATERIALS, THE APPLICATION OF THERMOPLASTIC STRIPING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS, AND THE INSTALLATION OF RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS SHALL CONFORM TO THE PROVISIONS IN THE CALTRANS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SECTIONS 84, "TRAFFIC STRIPES AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS" AND SECTION 85, "PAVEMENT MARKERS".

3. STRIPING DETAILS. PAVEMENT LEGENDS AND SYMBOLS SHALL CONFORM TO THOSE IN CALTRANS STANDARD PLANS. PAVEMENT LEGENDS AND SYMBOLS SHALL BE WHITE, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

4. LANE LINE AND/OR CENTERLINE PAVEMENT DELINEATION, WHERE EXISTING OR CALLED FOR ON THE PLANS, SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL TIMES FOR TRAVELED WAYS OPEN TO PUBLIC TRAFFIC. WHENEVER THE WORK CAUSES OBLITERATION OF PAVEMENT DELINEATION, TEMPORARY PAVEMENT DELINEATION OR PERMANENT TRAFFIC STRIPES OF THE APPROPRIATE COLOR AND DETAIL SHALL BE IN PLACE, IN THE LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS, PRIOR TO OPENING THE TRAVELED WAY TO PUBLIC TRAFFIC.

5. STRIPING SHALL BE CAT TRACKED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY INSPECTOR PRIOR TO FINAL


6. ALL CONFLICTING EXISTING STRIPING, PAINTED SYMBOLS AND RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS INCLUDING THOSE ON EXISTING STRIPING, PAINTED SYMBOLS AND RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS ON EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT SHALL BE REMOVED. THE REMOVAL OF STRIPING, PAINTED MARKINGS AND RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS SHALL BE DONE BY SAND BLASTING OR APPROVED METHOD AND SHALL CONFORM TO THE

7. THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKING MATERIALS AND INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO CALTRANS STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 84-2. MATERIALS SHALL CONSIST OF EXTRUDED ALKYD BINDER THERMOPLASTIC IN CONFORMANCE WITH STATE SPECIFICATION 8010-19A. APPLICATION SHALL BE BY

8 DAVEMENT DAMAGED DUE TO REMOVING PAISED DAVEMENT MARKERS SHALL RE REDAIRED TO THE

# GENERAL TRAFFIC CONTROL NOTES

- 1. ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL FOR CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO PART 6-TEMPORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL OF THE CA-MUTCD, ANY ADDENDUMS TO OR LATEST EDITION AND O.S.H.A. REQUIREMENTS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNS, DELINEATORS, ETC., PROPERLY INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNS, DELINEATORS, ETC., TO ENSURE 3 PROPER FLOW AND SAFETY OF TRAFFIC WHILE WORKING IN THE STREET.
- 4 ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES MAY BE REQUIRED IN THE FIELD. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES REQUIRED BY THE CITY TO ASSURE PUBLIC SAFETY AT ALL TIMES.
- 5 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE FLAG MAN DURING CONSTRUCTION WORK AS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE CITY ENGINEER.
- ARROW BOARDS SHALL BE USED ON ANY LANE CLOSURE ON ARTERIAL STREETS.
- 7. STRIPING SHALL BE CAT TRACKED AND APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL INSTALLATION.
- MAINTAIN ONE TRAFFIC LANE ON BOTH DIRECTIONS AT ALL TIMES.
- 9. ALL TRAFFIC LANES SHALL BE OPEN TO TRAFFIC AT THE END OF WORK HOURS EACH DAY.
- 10. NO TRAFFIC LANE SHALL HAVE 1" OR MORE VERTICAL JOINS EXCEPT AT THE EDGE OF GUTTER. VERTICAL JOINTS SHALL BE RAMPED WITH TEMPORARY ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT. THE RAMP AT TRAVERSE JOINT TO TRAFFIC SHALL BE 6:1 SLOPE, AT LONGITUDINAL JOINT SHALL BE 4:1 SLOPE AND AT DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE RAMPED AT 6:1 SLOPE.
- 11. ANY DEVIATION TO THE TRAFFIC LANE REQUIREMENTS SHALL REQUIRE THE CITY ENGINEER'S APPROVAL A MINIMUM 24 HOURS ADVANCE NOTICE.





- SAW CUT AND REMOVE EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO CONSTRUCT NEW IMPROVEMENT TO INCLUDE PCC PAVEMENT, EX. PCC SIDEWALK, EX. CURB GUTTER AND RAW DIRT.
- REMOVE EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL LINE.
- REMOVE CONFLICTING MARKING AND STRIPING BY SAND BLASTING.
- (10) RELOCATE EXISTING CATCH BASIN BY REMOVING EXISTING CATCH BASIN AND CONSTRUCT NEW CATCH BASIN PER SSPWC STD. PLAN 300-4, W=7' INCLUDING CONNECTOR, V=FIELD VERIFY BY CONTRACTOR TO MATCH EXISTING.
- (11)INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC AT PCC JOIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 134-3.
- (12) CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (13)CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB AND 8" PCC CURB TO BE CONSTRUCTED MONOLITHIC.
- (14) CONSTRUCT CURB & GUTTER ON 12" CRUSHED AGGREGATE PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (15)INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC, WHERE NEW PCC PAVEMENT JOINT EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT. CORE DRILL, EMBED AND EPOXY THE HALF LENGTH OF TIE BAR INTO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- (16)CONSTRUCT 4" PCC WALK (WIDTH VARIES) FROM BACK OF CURB TO R.O.W./FACE OF EXISTING FENCE..
- (17)CONSTRUCT CURB RAMP PER CALTRANS STANDARD A88A & AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN TO INCLUDE RAMP,
- FLAT AREA, WINGS AND CURB FROM TX TO TX.
- (18)MODIFY EXISTING TYPE E TRAFFIC LOOPS AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN ES-54A AND ES-5B INCLUDING NECESSARY CONDUCTORS AND WIRING.
- (19)EXTEND EXIST. 15" RCP S.D. CONNECTOR LINE AND CONNECT TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 308-2 AND SHALL INCLUDE TO INSTALL THE NECESSARY COLLAR PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 380-4.
- (20) CONNECT EXISTING 10" VCP CONNECTOR S.D. TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 332-2.
- (23)INSTALL W=10' BASIC CROSSWALK PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN A24F.
- (24)INSTALL DETAIL 38 PER CALTRANS STD PLAN A20D.
- RELOCATE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL TYPE 15 POLE INCLUDING TRAFFIC SIGNAL, LIGHT AND PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE.
- (32) RELOCATE PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE: REMOVE EXISTING PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON AND INSTALL A
- (33)REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX WITH NEW NO. 6(T) PB.
- (34) RELOCATE TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX AND EXTEND 3-INCH CONDUIT ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE TO NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX NO 6T. THE CONDUIT TO BE EXTENDED SHALL BE RIGID METAL GALVANIZED CONDUIT.
- (35) INSTALL NEW 3-INCH CONDUIT TO RESTORE ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE CONNECTION BETWEEN PULL BOXES.
- (36) INSTALL 2-INCH ELECTRIC LINE CONDUIT TO RELOCATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL/LIGHT/PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON INCLUDING CONDUCTORS.
- (37) ADJUST EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (38) ADJUST TRAFFIC PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (39) RELOCATE EXISTING STREET LIGHT TO NEW PARKWAY AREA.

### SPECIAL NOTATIONS


- $\langle A \rangle$ LIMIT LINE; SAWCUT AND JOIN NEW PCC PAVEMENT TO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- LIMIT LINE; BLEND ADJOINING GRADE TO THE PCC IMPROVEMENT. <br/>B>
- $\langle P \rangle$  PROTECT IN PLACE.

NEW ONE.





1. STREET 8" PCC PAVEMENT LONGITUDINAL JOINT SHALL BE ALONG THE SAWCUT AND JOINT LINE



REVISED BY	APPROVED BY	DATE	PROFESSION PRESSION P	PREPARED BY: 13367 BENSON AVE. CHINO, CA. 91710 (909) 595 8599		ELECTRONICALLY APP
			SO EXPIRES 6-30-23 CIVIL OF CALIFORNIT	JOSEPH D. DE PERALTA, R.C.E. C056508	JN 211006	DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC N CITY ENGINEER

## CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

1	SAW CUT AND REMOVE EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO CONSTRUCT NEW IMPROVEMENT
	TO INCLUDE PCC PAVEMENT, EX. PCC SIDEWALK, EX. CURB GUTTER AND RAW DIRT.


- 2 REMOVE EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL LINE.
- 3 REMOVE CONFLICTING MARKING AND STRIPING BY SAND BLASTING.
- (10) RELOCATE EXISTING CATCH BASIN BY REMOVING EXISTING CATCH BASIN AND CONSTRUCT NEW CATCH BASIN PER SSPWC STD. PLAN 300-4, W=7' INCLUDING CONNECTOR, V=FIELD VERIFY BY CONTRACTOR TO MATCH EXISTING.
- (11) INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC AT PCC JOIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 134–3.
- (12) CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (13) CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB AND 8" PCC CURB TO BE CONSTRUCTED MONOLITHIC.
- (14) CONSTRUCT CURB & GUTTER ON 12" CRUSHED AGGREGATE PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (15) INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC, WHERE NEW PCC PAVEMENT JOINT EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT. CORE DRILL, EMBED AND EPOXY THE HALF LENGTH OF TIE BAR INTO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- (16) CONSTRUCT 4" PCC WALK (WIDTH VARIES) FROM BACK OF CURB TO R.O.W./FACE OF EXISTING FENCE.
- (17) CONSTRUCT CURB RAMP PER CALTRANS STANDARD A88A & AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN TO INCLUDE RAMP, FLAT AREA, WINGS AND CURB FROM TX TO TX.
- (18) REMOVE & REINSTALL TYPE E TRAFFIC LOOP AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN ES-54A AND ES-5B.
- (19) EXTEND EXIST. 15" RCP S.D. CONNECTOR LINE AND CONNECT TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 308–2 AND SHALL INCLUDE TO INSTALL THE NECESSARY COLLAR PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 380–4.
- (20) CONNECT EXISTING 10" VCP CONNECTOR S.D. TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 332-2.
- (23) INSTALL W=10' BASIC CROSSWALK PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN A24F.
- (24) INSTALL DETAIL 38 PER CALTRANS STD PLAN A20D.
- RELOCATE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL TYPE 15 POLE INCLUDING TRAFFIC SIGNAL, LIGHT AND PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE.
- (32) RELOCATE PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE: REMOVE EXISTING PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON AND INSTALL A NEW ONE.
- (33) REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX WITH NEW NO. 6(T) PB.
- (34) RELOCATE TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX AND EXTEND 3-INCH CONDUIT ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE TO NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX NO 6T. THE CONDUIT TO BE EXTENDED SHALL BE RIGID METAL GALVANIZED CONDUIT.
- (35) INSTALL NEW 3-INCH CONDUIT TO RESTORE ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE CONNECTION BETWEEN PULL BOXES.
- (36) INSTALL 2-INCH ELECTRIC LINE CONDUIT TO RELOCATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL/LIGHT/PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON INCLUDING CONDUCTORS.
- (37) ADJUST EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (38) ADJUST TRAFFIC PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (39) RELOCATE EXISTING STREET LIGHT TO NEW PARKWAY AREA.

### SPECIAL NOTATIONS

- $\langle \overline{A} \rangle$  LIMIT LINE; SAWCUT AND JOIN NEW PCC PAVEMENT TO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- (B) LIMIT LINE; BLEND ADJOINING GRADE TO THE PCC IMPROVEMENT.
- $\langle \overline{P} \rangle$  PROTECT IN PLACE.

<u>CUR</u>	CURVE DATA				
	R	DELTA	LENGHT		
$\langle 1 \rangle$	603'	9 <b>°</b> 08'17"	96.17 <b>'</b>		
$\langle 2 \rangle$	65'	62 <b>°</b> 31'25"	70.93'		

	<b>CITY OF COMMERCE</b> PUBLIC WORKS & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT		
LLY APPROVED BY:	RT. LANE EB WASHINGTON BLVD STREET WIDENING TO SB TELEGRAPH RD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT		
PUBLIC WORKS & DEV. SERVICES DATE	STREET WI	DENING IMPROVEMENT PL	AN
	SHEET 2 OF 3 SHEETS		DWG. NO.



# CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- 1 SAW CUT AND REMOVE EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO CONSTRUCT NEW IMPROVEMENT TO INCLUDE PCC PAVEMENT, EX. PCC SIDEWALK, EX. CURB GUTTER AND RAW DIRT.
- 2 REMOVE EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL LINE.
- 3 REMOVE CONFLICTING MARKING AND STRIPING BY SAND BLASTING.
- (10) RELOCATE EXISTING CATCH BASIN BY REMOVING EXISTING CATCH BASIN AND CONSTRUCT NEW CATCH BASIN PER SSPWC STD. PLAN 300-4, W=7' INCLUDING CONNECTOR, V=FIELD VERIFY BY CONTRACTOR TO MATCH EXISTING.
- (11) INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC AT PCC JOIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 134-3.
- (12) CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (13) CONSTRUCT 8" THK. PCC PAVEMENT ON 12" CAB AND 8" PCC CURB TO BE CONSTRUCTED MONOLITHIC.
- (14) CONSTRUCT CURB & GUTTER ON 12" CRUSHED AGGREGATE PER SECTION DETAIL ON SHEET 2.
- (15) INSTALL #5 X 24" LONG TIE BAR AT 36" OC, WHERE NEW PCC PAVEMENT JOINT EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT. CORE DRILL, EMBED AND EPOXY THE HALF LENGTH OF TIE BAR INTO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- (16)CONSTRUCT 4" PCC WALK (WIDTH VARIES) FROM BACK OF CURB TO R.O.W./FACE OF EXISTING FENCE.
- $\overbrace{17}^{(17)}$  Construct curb ramp per caltrans standard a88a & as shown on the plan to include ramp, flat area, wings and curb from tx to tx.
- (18) MODIFY EXISTING TYPE E TRAFFIC LOOPS AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN ES-54A AND ES-5B INCLUDING NECESSARY CONDUCTORS AND WIRING.
- (19) EXTEND EXIST. 15" RCP S.D. CONNECTOR LINE AND CONNECT TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 308-2 AND SHALL INCLUDE TO INSTALL THE NECESSARY COLLAR PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 380-4.
- (20) CONNECT EXISTING 10" VCP CONNECTOR S.D. TO NEW CATCH BASIN PER SPPWC STD. PLAN 332-2.
- (23) INSTALL W=10' BASIC CROSSWALK PER CALTRANS STD. PLAN A24F.
- (24) INSTALL DETAIL 38 PER CALTRANS STD PLAN A20D.
- (31) RELOCATE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL TYPE 15 POLE INCLUDING TRAFFIC SIGNAL, LIGHT AND PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE.
- 32 RELOCATE PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON TO HERE: REMOVE EXISTING PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON AND INSTALL
- (33) REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX WITH NEW NO. 6(T) PB.
- (34) RELOCATE TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX AND EXTEND 3-INCH CONDUIT ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE TO NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX NO 6T. THE CONDUIT TO BE EXTENDED SHALL BE RIGID METAL GALVANIZED CONDUIT.
- (35) INSTALL NEW 3-INCH CONDUIT TO RESTORE ELECTRICAL TRAFFIC LINE CONNECTION BETWEEN PULL BOXES.
- (36) INSTALL 2-INCH ELECTRIC LINE CONDUIT TO RELOCATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL/LIGHT/PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON INCLUDING CONDUCTORS.
- (37) ADJUST EXIST. TRAFFIC SIGNAL PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (38) ADJUST TRAFFIC PULL BOX TO GRADE.
- (39) RELOCATE EXISTING STREET LIGHT TO NEW PARKWAY AREA.

## SPECIAL NOTATIONS


- (A) LIMIT LINE; SAWCUT AND JOIN NEW PCC PAVEMENT TO EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT.
- LIMIT LINE; BLEND ADJOINING GRADE TO THE PCC IMPROVEMENT.  $\langle B \rangle$
- $\langle P \rangle$  PROTECT IN PLACE.

A NEW ONE.

### <u>CURVE DATA</u>

	R	DELTA	LENGHT
$\langle 1 \rangle$	603 <b>'</b>	9 <b>°</b> 08'17"	96.17'
2	65'	62 <b>°</b> 31'25"	70.93'

	<b>CITY OF COMMERCE</b> PUBLIC WORKS & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PROVED BY:	RT. LANE EB WASHINGTON BLVD STREET WIDENING TO SB TELEGRAPH RD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
WORKS & DEV. SERVICES DATE	SOUTHERLY QUADRANT - CURB RETURN ENLARGED PLAN
	SHEET 3 OF 3 SHEETS DWG. NO.



# ATTACHMENT B – Traffic Report



### Date: January 16, 2014

То:	Alex Hamilton, Interim PW Director City of Commerce	Pages:	-10 pages -Attachments
From:	Jana Robbins, Sr. Transportation Analyst E jana.robbins@transtech.org T 909-595-8599 ext. 133	Job #:	14013
Re:	Review of Traffic Signal Operations at Telegraph Road and Washington Blvd	Cc:	Victor San Lucas, City of Commerce Yunus Rahi, PE., TE, Transtech Melissa Demirci, Transportation Analyst, Transtech

The City of Commerce has directed staff to conduct a review of existing traffic signal operations at the Telegraph Road and Washington Boulevard intersection as shown in **Figure 1: Aerial Proximity Map** below. The intersection is east of interstate 5. This intersection is a major transit intersection and truck route heavily used during peak and off peak hours.

Staff collected data regarding existing roadway conditions, turning movement, traffic volumes, signal phasing, and traffic collisions. Existing conditions and intersection operations, existing and proposed traffic conditions, and an accident summary are discussed in this report. Accident data was obtained from the computerized accident records system maintained by the State of California Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS). For purposes of this study, information available for the most recent 3 year period was used to develop the accident statistics as a part of the Engineering and Traffic Surveys. Accident data was obtained for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012. Turning movement counts were taken for peak AM and PM hours in the month of December of 2013. These counts were taken while school was in session.



Figure 1: Aerial Proximity Map


13367 Benson Avenue | Chino CA 91710 | T 909 595 8599 | F 909 595 8863 | Transtech.org

### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The Washington Boulevard and Telegraph Road intersection is located in an industrial area and serves as a commuter road for large axle trucks as well as for passenger vehicles. It is a signalized intersection with no u-turns allowed. The Commerce Casinos are north of the intersection. Parking is allowed on the south leg for the southbound direction on Telegraph Road. There is a Home Depot and Costco at the south east corner of the intersection, and the Central Basin Municipal Water District in the northwest corner of the intersection. The northeast and the south west corners are vacant lots. The Metro Bus Stop #62 is located on Telegraph on the southeast corner of the intersection. See **Figure 2: Intersection Diagram** for schematic of the Washington Blvd and Telegraph Road intersection phasing and **Figure 3: Aerial Close-up of Intersection**. See **Table 1: Phasing Diagram** for signal phasing at this intersection.


<u>Washington Boulevard</u>: Washington Boulevard is a major east/west arterial in the City of Commerce. At the Telegraph Road intersection, Washington Blvd carries two (2) travel lanes in each direction. The road passes under interstate 5 (west of intersection). Protected left turn phasing is provided for the eastbound and westbound directions. For the eastbound direction there are two left turn lanes, one thru lane and a shared thru and right turn lane. The westbound direction provides for right turn overlap phasing in addition to the green arrow for left turn traffic as well as one left turn lane, two thru lanes and one exclusive right turn lane.

**Telegraph Road:** Telegraph Road is a north/south arterial in the City of Commerce. At the Washington Blvd intersection, Telegraph Road has a posted speed limit of 45 mph with two (2) travel lanes in each direction. Protected left turn phasing is provided for both north and south approaches. The northbound direction provides one left turn lane, one thru lane and a shared thru and right turn lane. The southbound direction provides two left turn lanes, two thru lanes and an exclusive right turn lane with free flowing traffic.



### Figure 2: Intersection Diagram


Below in Table 1: Phasing Diagram shows the signal phasing for the intersection.





### Existing Turning Movement Counts

Turning movement counts were taken for peak AM and PM hours in the month of December of 2013. These counts were taken while school was in session. Trucks 3+ axle were counted separately and converted to passenger vehicles using a 2.0 pce factor and then added back into the count totals.



### **EXISTING INTERSECTION OPERATIONS**

### Level of Service Criteria

Roadway operations and the relationship between capacity and traffic volumes are generally expressed in terms of levels of service. These levels recognize that, while an absolute limit exists as to the amount of traffic traveling through a given intersection (the absolute capacity), the conditions that motorists experience rapidly deteriorate as traffic approaches the absolute capacity. Under such conditions, congestion is experienced. There is generally instability in the traffic flow, which means that relatively small incidents (e.g., momentary engine stall) can cause considerable fluctuations in speeds and delay. This near-capacity situation is labeled LOS E. Levels of service are defined as LOS A through F. Beyond LOS E, capacity is exceeded, and arriving traffic will exceed the ability of the intersection to accommodate it. An upstream queue will form and continue to expand in length until the demand volume reduces.

A complete description of the meaning of level of service can be found in the Transportation Research Board's Special Report 209, *Highway Capacity Manual*. The Manual establishes the definitions for levels of service A through F. Brief descriptions of the six levels of service, as extracted from the Manual, are shown in **Table 2: Level of Service Definitions**.

LOS	Description
A	No approach phase is fully utilized by traffic and no vehicle waits longer than one red indication. Typically, the approach appears quite open, turns are made easily and nearly all drivers find freedom of operation.
В	This service level represents stable operation, where an occasional approach phase is fully utilized and a substantial number are approaching full use. Many drivers begin to feel restricted within platoons of vehicles.
С	This level still represents stable operating conditions. Occasionally, drivers have to wait through more than one red signal indication, and backups may develop behind turning vehicles. Most drivers feel somewhat restricted.
D	This level encompasses a zone of increasing restriction approaching instability at the intersection. Delays to approaching vehicles may be substantial during short peaks within the peak period; however, enough cycles with lower demand occur to permit periodic clearance of developing queues, thus preventing excessive backups.
E	Capacity occurs at the upper end of this service level. It represents the most vehicles that any particular intersection can accommodate. Full utilization of every signal cycle is seldom attained no matter how great the demand.

TABLE 2 LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) DEFINITIONS

	This level describes forced flow operations at low speeds, where volumes exceed
	capacity. These conditions usually result from queues of vehicles backing up from
F	restriction downstream. Speeds are reduced substantially and stoppages may occur for
	short or long periods of time due to congestion. In the extreme case, both speed and
	volume can drop to zero.

Source: HCM 2000 Traffic Manual Chapter 16 and 17

Level of Service	Two-Way or All-Way Stop Intersection	Signalized	Intersection	
(LOS)	Average Delay per Vehicle (sec)	V/C Ratio	Average Delay per Vehicle (sec)	V/C Ratio
А	0 - 10	> 0.60	0 - 10	> 0.60
В	> 10 - 15	> 0.60 - 0.70	> 10 - 20	> 0.60 - 0.70
С	> 15 - 25	> 0.70 - 0.80	> 20 - 35	> 0.70 - 0.80
D	> 25 - 35	> 0.80 - 0.90	> 35 - 55	> 0.80 - 0.90
E	> 35 - 50	> 0.90 - 1.00	> 55 - 80	> 0.90 - 1.00
F	> 50	> 1.00	> 80	> 1.00

TABLE 3 LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

Source: HCM 2000 Traffic Manual Chapter 16 and 17

The City provides for operations at the minimum acceptable threshold of LOS D.

For the study area intersections, the TRAFFIX computer software, Version 8.0 has been utilized to determine levels of service.

While the level of service concept provides an indication of the performance of the entire intersection, the single letter grade A through F cannot describe specific operational deficiencies at intersections. Progression, queue formation, and left-turn storage are example of the operational issues that affect the performance of an intersection, but do not factor into the strict calculation of level of service. However, the TRAFFIX software does provide an output that quantifies operational features at intersections, such as vehicle clearance, queue formation, and left-turn storage requirements.

The following defaults were used in the calculation of Level of Service<sup>1</sup>:

- 1600 capacity
- 2880 capacity for dual LT lanes
- ICU Methodology

### EXISTING AND PROPOSED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

<sup>1</sup> Traffic Impact Analysis Report Guidelines, Los Angeles County Public Works. *Prepared by: Transtech Engineers, Inc.* 

**Table 4** presents the intersection level of service analysis summary for existing conditions. The Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) method was used in determining intersection LOS for the signalized intersections. Based on the results of this analysis the intersection currently operates at LOS B and C during AM and PM Peak hours, respectively. With changes in the EB lane usage and with an additional EB lane **Tables 6 and 8** summarize the operations of the intersection. As seen in **Table 6** (Option 1) with a reconfiguration of the existing number of lanes in the EB direction the intersection will deteriorate to LOS E during both AM and PM peak hours. Option 2 **Table 8**, the roadway will provide for an additional EB lane with 2 through lanes and 1 exclusive right turn lane. With this configuration the intersection will operate at LOS B during both AM and PM peak hours, showing an improvement to conditions during the PM peak.

Detailed level of service calculation worksheets are provided in the Technical Appendix.

TABLE 4 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY Existing Intersection Geometrics (Specifically, 2 EB LT, 1 EB Thru and 1 EB Shared Thru and RT)

Intersection	Peak	Existing Conditions			
	Hour				
		LOS	Crit V/C		
1. Telegraph Road at Washington Blvd	AM	В	0.691		
	PM	С	0.748		

**Tables 5, 7 and 9** provide a comparison of the number of eastbound vehicles waiting in the queue for each lane during the peak periods. As seen on **Table 5**, there are currently 19 vehicles waiting in the through and right turn lane during the AM peak and 21 vehicles in the PM peak. It is assumed that 25 feet is needed for each passenger vehicle, this equates to a queue of 475 feet and 525 feet, for the AM and PM peaks, respectively. As shown in **Table 7** (Option 1), changing the existing shared and right turn lane will negatively affect the intersection by deteriorating LOS operations and lengthening the back up for eastbound traffic from 19 and 21 vehicles to 27 and 28 vehicles waiting for the EB Thru movement. In Option 2 **(Table 9)** adding an additional lane will reduce queues for EB thru traffic to 17 and 18 vehicles and for right turn traffic to 5 and 10 vehicles during peak AM and PM periods, respectively.

Back of Queue and Delay Per Venicle for Eastbound Movements - Existing Conditions							
Intersection	Peak	Back of Queue for Each EB Movement					
	Hour	EB Left (2	EB Right (1				
		lanes)	lane)				
1. Telegraph and Washington	AM Q	4	19	19			
	AM Delay	47.1 sec/veh	18.6 sec/veh	23.3 sec/veh			
	PM Q	5	21	21			
	PM Delay	36.7 sec/veh	17 sec/veh	20.1 sec/veh			

 Table 5

 Back of Oueue and Delay Per Vehicle for Eastbound Movements - Existing Conditions

# TABLE 6INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARYOption 1 - Intersection Geometrics(Specifically, 2 EB LT, 1 EB Thru and 1 EB Exclusive RT)

	1		•	
Intersection	Peak	Existing Conditions		
	Hour			
		LOS	Crit V/C	
1. Telegraph Road at Washington Blvd	AM	E	0.935	
	PM	E	0.969	

Table 7Back of Queue and Delay Per Vehicle for Option 1 Conditions

Intersection	Peak	Back of Queue for Each EB Movement			
	Hour	EB Left (2	EB Thru (1+1)	EB Right (1	
		lane)			
1. Telegraph and Washington	AM	4	27	3	
	AM Delay	42.4 sec/veh	26.7 sec/veh	7.5 sec/veh	
	PM	5	28	7	
	PM Delay	34.8 sec/veh	20.8 sec/veh	7.3 sec/veh	

### TABLE 8 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY Option 2 - Intersection Geometrics (Specifically, 2 FB LT, 2 FB Thru and 1 FB Exclusive BT)

(Specifically, 2 EB LI, 2 EB Thru and 1 EB Exclusive RT)							
Intersection	Peak	Existing Conditions					
	Hour						
		LOS	Crit V/C				
1. Telegraph Road at Washington Blvd	AM	В	0.688				
	PM	В	0.660				

Table 9
Back of Queue and Delay Per Vehicle for Option 2 Conditions

Intersection	Peak	Back of Queue for Each EB Movement			
	Hour	EB Left	EB Right		
1. Telegraph and Washington	AM	4	17	5	
	AM Delay	47.2 sec/veh	17.5 sec/veh	13.3 sec/veh	
	PM	5	18	10	
	PM Delay	37.9 sec/veh	17.8 sec/veh	14.6 sec/veh	

### ACCIDENT SUMMARY

Accident data was obtained from the computerized accident records system maintained by the State of California Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS). For purposes of this study, information available for the most recent 3 year period was used to develop the accident statistics as a part of the Engineering and Traffic Surveys. Accident data was obtained for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012. There were a total of 24 accidents within the intersection over a 3 year period. **Table 10: Accident Summary Table** summarizes the accidents and **Figure 4: Accident Location Map** provides a schematic of the approximate locations.


- 2012 2 accidents
- 2011 7 accidents
- 2010 15 accidents

### Table 10: Accident Summary Table

		ent Summary Table				Collision			
No.	Date	Location	Dist.	Time	Day	Туре	Severity	Factor	
2012	2012								
1	02/13/12	Telegraph/Washington	1	11:15	Mon	Sideswipe /speed	PDO	WB LT hit WB LT	
2	02/11/12	Telegraph/Washington	250' W	16:30	Sat	Hit object	PDO	EB thru hit object (impaired)	
2011									
1	10/10/11	Washington/Telegraph	313' W	02:26	Mon	Hit object	FATAL	WB Thru (impaired)	
2	03/20/11	Washington/Telegraph	300' W	18:00	Sun	Sideswipe	PDO	LT EB hit LT EB	
3	02/26/11	Washington/Telegraph	1	13:15	Sat	Broadside	PDO	WB LT hit SB thru	
4	02/22/11	Washington/Telegraph	10' E	07:00	Tue	Rearend	PDO	WB thru hit stopped WB veh	
5	02/01/11	Washington/Telegraph	1	19:00	Tue	Sideswipe	PDO	SB RT hit SB RT	
6	01/21/11	Telegraph/Washington	25' W	12:35	Fri	Broadside	PDO	EB LT hit EB LT	
7	01/09/11	Washington/Telegraph	214' S	17:25	Sun	Hit object	PDO	WB thru hit object	
2010									
1	12/30/10	Telegraph/Washington	1	21:23	Thu	Broadside	PDO	EB LT (impaired) hit WB thru and SB stopped	
2	12/19/10	Telegraph/Washington	1	06:20	Sun	Broadside	INJ	EB thru hit NB LT	
3	11/11/10	Washington/Telegraph	158' W	02:25	Thu	Hit object	INJ	WB thru hit object (impaired)	

Prepared by: Transtech Engineers, Inc.

No.	Date	Location	Dist.	Time	Day	Collision Type	Severity	Factor
4	11/04/10	Washington/Telegraph	15' W	04:15	Thu	Rearend	PDO	WB RT hit WB RT
5	10/21/10	Washington/Telegraph	11' N	07:00	Thu	Rearend	PDO	SB thru hit SB thru
6	10/17/10	Telegraph/Washington	75' E	09:00	Sun	Hit Object / Speed	PDO	EB RT hit object
7	09/02/10	Washington/Telegraph	150' W	06:40	Thu	Hit object	PDO	WB thru hit object
8	08/12/10	Telegraph/Washington	44' W	03:00	Thu	Hit object	PDO	WB RT hit object (impaired)
9	07/15/10	Telegraph/Washington	120' N	16:00	Thu	Broadside	PDO	SB thru hit WB thru
10	05/17/10	Telegraph/Washington	10′ N	19:45	Mon	Hit object	PDO	WB RT hit object
11	04/26/10	Washington/Telegraph	80' W	01:28	Mon	Hit object	PDO	WB thru hit object
12	03/18/10	Washington/Telegraph	1	23:00	Thu	Rearend	PDO	EB thru hit EB stopped (impaired)
13	02/16/10	Washington/Telegraph	139' W	12:30	Tue	Sideswipe	INJ	WB thru hit EB thru
14	02/02/10	Telegraph/Washington	55' E	07:50	Tue	Rearend / Speed	PDO	WB thru hit stopped WB thru veh
15	01/08/10	Washington/Telegraph	80' W	17:55	Fri	Rearend / Speed	PDO	WB thru hit stopped WB veh



### SUMMARY

As seen in Tables 8 and 9, the alternative that will provide for the best levels of operation and queues for the EB flow of traffic is Option 2; adding an exclusive right turn lane while maintaining two through lanes. This option will require widening of the EB curb lane.

### ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1: Turning Movement Counts
- Attachment 2: Axle Count Peak Hour Summary
- Attachment 3: AM, PM Peak Hour

### Attachment 1: Turning Movement Counts

**Turning Movement Count** 

### TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT

PROJECT NAME: City of Commerce - Washington and Telegraph Operations Analysis 14013

PROJECT	NO:
DATE:	

December 5, 2013

	N-S STR	REET:	Telegrap	h Road				E-W ST	REET:	Washing	ton Blvd	-		3 1				
TIME	NOR	TH BOUN	D	SOL	TH BOU	ND	N-S	EAS	ST BOUN	0	WE	ST BOUN	ND	E-W		PED CO	DUNT	
	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	TOTAL	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	TOTAL	NL	SL	EL	WL
07:00-07:15	38	124	8	35	22	55	282	26	196	16	5	165	143	551	2	4	2	
07:15-07:30	56	129	10	30	28	62	315	29	168	21	4	235	118	575		1	2	
07:30-07:45	70	184	9	37	26	68	394	28	182	21	0	177	144	552		4	5	
07:45-08:00	52	159	7	40	31	65	354	29	244	27	3	207	114	624		0	2	
08:00-08:15	36	128	3	28	22	68	285	31	214	44	6	199	109	603		3	6	
08:15-08:30	49	140	16	23	25	58	311	42	191	32	2	133	101	501		7	2	
08:30-08:45	53	113	11	49	21	67	314	36	185	32	3	157	109	522		1	0	
08:45-09:00	53	108	8	36	29	42	276	43	153	25	2	112	79	414		1	1	-

11:00-11:15	0	0
11:15-11:30	0	0
11:30-11:45	0	0
11:45-12:00	0	0
12:00-12:15	0	0
12:15-12:30	0	0
12:30-12:45	0	0
12:45-13:00	0	0

16:00-16:15	28	92	9	52	94	60	335	42	220	71	10	127	137	607	1	4		
16:15-16:30	43	88	6	86	98	82	403	50	252	56	12	137	107	614		1		
16:30-16:45	30	93	11	62	112	87	395	37	216	48	13	129	111	554	1	2		1
16:45-17:00	34	79	2	97	126	90	428	37	208	61	11	110	81	508	1	0	2	
17:00-17:15	28	82	5	58	94	79	346	46	247	53	3	126	86	561	1	3	6	3
17:15-17:30	29	84	2	73	83	84	355	26	234	51	11	139	134	595	4	1	5	
17:30-17:45	26	113	6	64	115	79	403	19	226	40	12	122	135	554	0	3		
17:45-18:00	31	104	6	59	87	71	358	49	249	51	11	124	114	598	1		3	

### PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS

	CALCUL	ATED PE	AK HOUR	VOLUME	ES-AM			ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR V	DLUMES-	AM	
		263	107	135									
		SR	ST	SL					SR	ST	SL		
117	EL				WR	485		EL				WR	
808	ET	C	07:15-08:1	5	WT	818		ET				WT	
113	ER				WL	13		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		214	600	29									
	CALCUL	ATED PE	AK HOUR	VOLUME	S-NOON		1	ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR VO	DLUMES-	NOON	
		0	0	0									
		SR	ST	SL					SR	ST	SL		
0	EL				WR	0		EL				WR	
0	ET	1	11:00-12:0	0	WT	0		ET				WT	
0	ER				WL	0		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		0	0	0									
	CALCUL	ATED PE	AK HOUR	VOLUME	ES-PM			ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR VO	DLUMES-	PM	
		319	430	297									
		SR	ST	SL					SR	ST	SL		
166	EL				WR	436		EL				WR	
896	ET	1	16:00-17:0	0	WT	503		ET				WT	
236	ER				WL	46		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		135	352	28									

### Attachment 1: Turning Movement Counts

**Turning Movement Count** 

### TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT

PROJECT NAME: City of Commerce - Washington and Telegraph Operations Analysis
PROJECT NO: 14013

	N-S STR	REET:	Telegrap	h Road				E-W ST	REET:	Washing	ton Blvd							
TIME	NOR	TH BOUN	ND	SO	UTH BOU	ND	N-S	EAS	T BOUN	D	WE	ST BOUN	ID	E-W		PED C	OUNT	_
	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	TOTAL	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	TOTAL	NL	SL	EL	WL
07:00-07:15	4	4	1			3	12	3	10			9	12	34				
07:15-07:30	2					5	7	2	12	1		17	5	37				
07:30-07:45	4	3	2	3		4	16	2	13	3		10	5	33				
07:45-08:00	4	2	2		1	4	13	1	13	5	2	19	12	52				
08:00-08:15	4	3	4	1		6	18	1	17	3	3	12	5	41				
08:15-08:30	5	1	0	1		4	11	0	10	1	4	20	6	41				
08:30-08:45	2	7	4	1		5	19	1	10	1	2	17	9	40				
08:45-09:00	2	3	1	2	-	9	17	6	15	3	1	18	11	54				

11:15-11:30				0				0			
11:30-11:45	 			 0	1			 0			·
11:45-12:00	 			0				0			
12:00-12:15				0				0			
12:15-12:30			-	0	1	1. Contraction 1. Con		0		L	
12:30-12:45		-		0			1.0.1	0	-		
12:45-13:00				0				0			

16:00-16:15	2	1	2	2	6	6	19		13	4	3	6	4	30	
16:15-16:30	3	1	0	0	3	7	14	1	20	5	1	4	2	33	
16:30-16:45	3	3	1	1	2	6	16	2	15	8	1	14	4	44	
16:45-17:00	1	2	1	2	2	8	16	0	11	4		9	6	30	
17:00-17:15	5	1	1	2	1	7	17	1	12	4		12	1	30	1
17:15-17:30	2	3	4	2	2	11	24	0	9	8		17	1	35	
17:30-17:45	0	2	2	1	2	9	16	1	16	9	1	9	5	41	
17:45-18:00	7	3	2	1	2	4	19		12	3		6	7	28	

### PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS

	CALCUL	ATED PI	EAK HOUR	VOLUME	S-AM			ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR VO	DLUMES-	AM	
		24	0	5			1						
		SR	ST	SL			1		SR	ST	SL		
8	EL				WR	31		EL				WR	
52	ET		08:00-09:0	0	WT	67		ET				WT	
8	ER				WL	10		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		13	14	9			1						
	CALCUL	ATED P	EAK HOUR	VOLUME	S-NOON			ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR VO	DLUMES-	NOON	
		0	0	0									
		SR	ST	SL					SR	ST	SL		
0	EL				WR	0		EL				WR	
0	ET		11:00-12:0	0	WT	0		ET				WT	
0	ER				WL	0		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		0	0	0			1	in and the second	and the state			anime	
	CALCUL	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	EAK HOUR		S-PM			ADJUST	ED PEAK	HOUR VO	DLUMES-	PM	
		32	7	7									
		SR	ST	SL			1		SR	ST	SL		
3	EL				WR	12		EL				WR	
47	ET		16:30-17:3	0	WT	52		ET				WT	
24	ER				WL	1		ER				WL	
		NL	NT	NR					NL	NT	NR		
		11	9	7					-		-		

Merk         Merk <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>and the second s</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>														and the second s						
$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $		M Peak																		
$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	action	-		North Bound	(Telegraph)			South Boun	id (Telegraph)			East Bound ()	Washington)			West Bound	(Washington)			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		te Factor	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	Total	% of Tota
		15-8:15	214	600	29		135				117	808	113		13					
	w/pce factor	1.0	214	600	29	843	135					808	113		13				3702	0.88
		00:6-00:	13	14	6		5				8	52	8		10					
	w/pce factor	2.0	26	28	18	72	10					104	16	136	20				482	0.12
	al Bus		0	10	0		0	3		1	0	0	0		0					
$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	w/pce factor	1.5	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0				0	00.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	100	M Peak	240	628	47	915	145					912	129	1174	33			The loss	4184	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																				
PM Peak         North Bound         North Bound         South Bound         South Bound         Mest B	ation																			
$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $		M Peak																		
Perefactor         LT         THRU         RT         Total         LT         THRU         RT         Total         LT         THRU         RT         THRU         RT         Total         LT         THRU         RT         Total         LT         THRU         RT         Total         TARU         RT         Total         RT         Total         TARU         RT         TARU         RT         TOTal         TARU         RT         TOTal         TARU         RT         TOTal         TARU         RT         TOTal         TARU         RT         TARU         RT         TOTal         TARU         RT         TOTAl         TOTAl         TO	rction			North B	ound			South	1 Bound			East B	puno			West	Bound			
Isourt700         135         352         28         139         319         166         856         235         133         136         13		te Factor	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	LT	THRU	RT	Total	Total	% of Tota
10         135         352         28         615         297         430         319         1046         166         896         236         129         136         603         436         985         3344           16.30-17:30         11         9         7         7         7         32         41         24         12         1	6	1:00-17:00	135	352	28		297				166	896	236		46					
16:30-17:30       11       9       7       7       32       12       24       15       15       12	w/pce factor	1.0	135	352	28	515	297					896	236		46				3844	06.0
·         ·		1:30-17:30	11	6	2		2		7 32		9	47	24		1	52				
Ctor         0	w/pce factor	2.0	22	18	14	54	14				9	94	48	148	2				424	0.10
15         0	al Bus		0	0	0		0				0	0	0		0					
PM Peak         157         370         42         569         311         444         383         1138         172         990         284         1446         48         607         460         1115	w/pce factor	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	-	0			0	0	0	0				0	00.0
	5.1	M Peak	157	370	42	569	311				172	066	284	1446	48				4268	

AXLE COUNT PEAK HOUR SUMMARY

	۵.	PCE Count Summary - AM Peak	mary - AM Pea	K	
			Ļ	547	
311	107	145	Ļ	952	
٦	>	1	4	33	
	133	4	Ļ	←	Ĺ
	912	1	240	628	47
	129	P			

PCE Count Summary - PM Peak

MITIG8 - Existing ConditionThu Jan 16, 2014 10:02:55 Page 1-1 \_\_\_\_\_ City of Commerce: Telegraph Washington Operations Analysis Existing Conditions AM Peak \_\_\_\_\_ Level Of Service Computation Report ICU 1(Loss as Cycle Length %) Method (Future Volume Alternative) Intersection #1 Telegraph at Washington Cycle (sec): 120 Critical Vol./Cap.(X): 0.691 24.3 Average Delay (sec/veh): Level Of Service: Loss Time (sec): 10 Optimal Cycle: 51 Street Name:Telegraph RdWashington BlvdApproach:North BoundSouth BoundEast BoundMovement:L - T - RL - T - RL - T - R Volume Module: >> Count Date: 5 Dec 2013 << AM Peak Base Vol:2406284714510731113391212933952547Growth Adj:1.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.00Initial Bse:2406284714510731113391212933952547 Added Vol:00000000000PasserByVol:0000000000Initial Fut:2406284714510731113391212933952547 FinalVolume: 240 628 47 145 107 466 OvlAdjVol: Saturation Flow Module: Lanes: 1.00 1.86 0.14 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.75 0.25 1.00 2.00 1.00 Final Sat.: 1600 2977 223 2880 3200 1600 2880 2803 397 1600 3200 1600 \_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_| Capacity Analysis Module: Vol/Sat: 0.15 0.21 0.21 0.05 0.03 0.00 0.05 0.33 0.33 0.02 0.30 0.34 0.29 OvlAdjV/S: \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* Crit Moves: 

 Green/Cycle:
 0.32
 0.32
 0.08
 0.07
 0.00
 0.07
 0.49
 0.49
 0.03
 0.45
 0.53

 Volume/Cap:
 0.47
 0.66
 0.66
 0.66
 0.47
 0.00
 0.66
 0.66
 0.66
 0.65
 0.65
 0.66
 0.66
 0.66
 0.66
 0.66
 0.65
 0.65
 0.69

 Delay/Veh:
 25.4
 28.4
 40.5
 46.5
 42.3
 0.0
 47.1
 18.6
 23.3
 62.1
 20.5
 16.9

 Delay Adj:
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 DesignQueue: 11 16 16 5 3 0 4 19 19 2 19 19

Existing Conditions Tue Dec 10, 2013 14:42:30 Page 3-1 \_\_\_\_\_ City of Commerce - Telegraph Washington Operations Analysis Existing Conditions PM Peak \_\_\_\_\_ Level Of Service Computation Report ICU 1(Loss as Cycle Length %) Method (Base Volume Alternative) Intersection #1 Telegraph at Washington Cycle (sec): 120 Critical Vol./Cap.(X): 0.748 26.2 Loss Time (sec): 10 Optimal Cycle: 60 Average Delay (sec/veh): Level Of Service: C Street Name:Telegraph RdWashington BlvdApproach:North BoundSouth BoundEast BoundMovement:L - T - RL - T - RL - T - R \_\_\_\_\_// 
 Control:
 Protected
 Protected
 Protected
 Protected
 Protected


 Rights:
 Include
 Ignore
 Include
 Ovl

 Min. Green:
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 Y+R:
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0

 Lanes:
 1
 0
 1
 0
 2
 0
 1
 1
 0
 2
 0
 1
 \_\_\_\_\_/ Volume Module: >> Count Date: 5 Dec 2013 << Existing PM Peak Base Vol:1573704231144438317299028448607460Growth Adj:1.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.00Initial Bse:1573704231144438317299028448607460 PHF Volume:15737042311444017299028448607460Reduct Vol:00000000000Reduced Vol:15737042311444017299028448607460 PCE Adj:1.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.00MLF Adj:1.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.00 FinalVolume: 157 370 42 311 444 0 172 990 284 48 607 460 287 OvlAdjVol: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Saturation Flow Module: Sat/Lane:16001600160016001600160016001600160016001600Adjustment:1.001.001.000.901.001.001.001.001.001.00Lanes:1.001.800.202.002.001.002.001.550.451.002.001.00Final Sat.:1600287432628803200160028802487713160032001600 \_\_\_\_\_| Capacity Analysis Module: Vol/Sat: 0.10 0.13 0.13 0.11 0.14 0.00 0.06 0.40 0.40 0.03 0.19 0.29 0.18 OvlAdjV/S: \* \* \* \* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* Crit Moves: \*\*\*\* Green/Cycle: 0.14 0.18 0.18 0.15 0.19 0.00 0.14 0.55 0.55 0.04 0.45 0.60 Volume/Cap: 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.00 0.42 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.42 0.48 Delay/Veh:46.039.458.741.738.10.036.717.020.164.417.410.8Delay Adj:1.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.00AdjDel/Veh:46.039.458.741.738.10.036.717.020.164.417.410.8DesignQueue:9121291205212131213 Note: Queue reported is the number of cars per lane. 

Attachment 3: AM and PM Peak	City of Commerce - Telegraph Washington Operations Analysis Existing Conditions PM Peak	Page 3 of 7		
	Intersection Graphic Report Final Volume (Base Alternative) Existing Conditions			
Intersection #1: Telegraph at Washing	gton			
0 444	311			



Cit	y of	Comme	rce: T			onditio			ons Ana	lysis		
ICU 1(	Loss *****	as Cv	evel 0 cle Le *****	ngth %	) Met	nod (Fi	uture	Volum	ne Alte	rnativ	e) ****	*****
Intersection	#1 Te	legra	ph at	Washir	gton	*****	* * * * * *	****	*****	*****	****	*****
Loss Time (sec): 10			Critical Vol./Cap.(X): Average Delay (sec/veh) Level Of Service: ********						0.935 : 29.9 E			
Street Name: Approach: Movement:	Telegr North Bound L - T - R			aph Rd South Bound L - T - R			Ea L -	Wa ast Bo - T	ashingt bund - R	on Blvd West Bound L - T - R		
Control:	Protected						Protected			Protected		
Rights:		Inclu		Ignore 0 0 0			Include 0 0 0			0vl 0 0 0		
Min. Green: Y+R:	0	4 0	4.0							-		
Lanes:	1 C	1	1 0	2 (	) 2	0 1	2 0	) 1	0 1	1 C	2	0 1
									-5-22-	[		
Volume Module Base Vol:	240		. Date: 47	5 Dec 145	2013	311	133	912	129	33	952	547
Growth Adj:						1.00			1.00			1.00
Initial Bse:		628	47	145	107	311	133	912	129		952	547
	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Initial Fut:	240	628	47	145	107	311	133	912	129	33	952	54
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
PHF Volume:	240	628	47	145	107	0	133	912	129	33	952	54
Reduct Vol:		0		0	0	0	0	0		0 33	0	54
Reduced Vol:		628	47 1.00	145	107	0 0.00	133			- 10 C	952	0.5
PCE Adj: MLF Adj:				1.00		0.00	1.00					1.00
FinalVolume:					107	0.00		912			952	54
OvlAdjVol:	210	020										46
 0												
Saturation Fl	1600			1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	160
Sat/Lane: Adjustment:							0.90					
Lanes:			0.14	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00					
Final Sat.:	1600	2977	223	2880	3200	1600	2880	1600	1600			
Capacity Ana						[				1		
Vol/Sat: OvlAdjV/S:		0.21	0.21	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.57	0.08	0.02	0.30	0.3
Crit Moves:		****		****				****		****		
Green/Cycle:				0.05	0.05	0.00	0.09					
Volume/Cap:	0.65	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.65	0.00	0.54			0.93		
Delay/Veh:			106.2			0.0		26.7		131.9		
	1.00			1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
AdjDel/Veh:			106.2		49.1			26.7		131.9		
DesignQueue:	13	18	18	5	3	0	4	27	3	2	15	16

Attachment 3: AM and PM Peak Page 5 of 7 Page 1-1 MITIG8 - Existing ConditionTue Dec 10, 2013 14:44:27 \_\_\_\_\_ City of Commerce - Telegraph Washington Operations Analysis Existing Conditions PM Peak \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Level Of Service Computation Report ICU 1(Loss as Cycle Length %) Method (Future Volume Alternative) Intersection #1 Telegraph at Washington Critical Vol./Cap.(X): 0.969 Cvcle (sec): 120 Average Delay (sec/veh): Level Of Service: Loss Time (sec): 10 Optimal Cycle: 130 39.1 E 
 Control:
 Protected
 Protected
 Protected

 Rights:
 Include
 Ignore
 Include

 Min. Green:
 0
 0
 0
 0

 Y+R:
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 Protected Protected Include 0 0 0 0 0 Ovl 0 0 0 4.0 4.0 4.0 
 Y+R:
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 4.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0
 1.0</t 1 0 2 0 1 2 0 1 0 1 [-----Volume Module: >> Count Date: 5 Dec 2013 << Existing PM Peak Base Vol: 157 370 42 311 444 383 172 990 284 48 607 460 Initial Bse: 157 370 42 311 444 383 172 990 284 460 48 607 

 Added Vol:
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 PHF Adj: 

 PHF Volume:
 157
 370
 42
 311
 444
 0
 172
 990
 284
 48
 607
 460

 Reduct Vol:
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0 FinalVolume: 157 370 42 311 444 0 172 990 284 48 607 460 287 OvlAdjVol: Saturation Flow Module: 

 Adjustment:
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00
 1.00</td Capacity Analysis Module: Vol/Sat: 0.10 0.13 0.13 0.11 0.14 0.00 0.06 0.62 0.18 0.03 0.19 0.29 0.18 OvlAdjV/S: \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* Crit Moves: \*\*\*\* Green/Cycle: 0.10 0.13 0.13 0.11 0.14 0.00 0.16 0.64 0.64 0.03 0.51 0.62 Volume/Cap: 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.00 0.37 0.97 0.28 0.97 0.37 0.46 Delay/Veh: 86.0 67.2 130.7 71.1 64.0 0.0 34.8 30.8 7.3 129.6 13.7 9.5 1.00 AdjDel/Veh: 86.0 67.2 130.7 71.1 64.0 0.0 34.8 30.8 7.3 129.6 13.7 9.5 DesignQueue: 10 12 12 9 13 0 5 28 7 3 10 12

CIU	y of	Comme				onditi		Dot	ons Ana	lysis 2	
ICU 1(	Loss *****	as Cv	cle Le	nath 9	) Met	omputa hod (Fi *****	uture	Volum	ne Alte	ernative)	*****
Intersection **************	#1 Te	elegra	ph at 1	Washir	ngton	*****	*****	****	*****	*******	· * * * * * *
Cycle (sec): Loss Time (se Optimal Cycle *****	ec):	12 1 5	0 0 1			Critica Average Level 0	al Vol e Dela Of Sei	./Cap ay (se cvice:	).(X): ec/veh)	0. : 2	.688 23.7 B
Street Name: Approach: Movement:	Nor L -	rth Bo - T	Telegr und - R	aph Ro Sou L -	l 1th Bo - T	und - R	Ea L -	Wa ast Bo - T	shingt ound - R	on Blvd West B L - T	Bound - R
Control:	Protected		Protected								
	0 4.0 1 (	4.0 ) 1	0 4.0 1 0	0 4.0 2 (	4.0	0 4.0 0 1	0 4.0 2 (	0 4.0 2	0 4.0 0 1	0 ( 4.0 4.0 1 0 2	) C ) 4.0 0 1
Volume Module Base Vol: Growth Adj: Initial Bse: Added Vol: PasserByVol: Initial Fut: User Adj: PHF Adj: PHF Volume: Reduced Vol: Reduced Vol: PCE Adj: MLF Adj: FinalVolume: OvlAdjVol: 	2: >> 240 1.00 240 0 240 1.00 240 0 240 1.00 240 1.00 240	Count 628 1.00 628 0.0 628 1.00 1.00 628 1.00 1.00 628	Date: 47 1.00 47 0 47 1.00 1.00 47 1.00 1.00 47 1.00 1.00 47 1.00 1.00 1.00 47 1.00 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 1.00 47 0 47 0 1.00 1.00 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 0 47 1.00 1.00 1.00 47 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000 1.000 47 1.000	5 Dec 145 1.00 145 1.00 145 1.00 1.00 145 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.45	2 2013 107 1.00 107 0 0 107 1.00 1.00 107 1.00 1.	<< AM 311 1.00 311 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	Peak 133 1.00 133 0 0 133 1.00 1.00 133 1.00 1.00	912 1.00 912 0 912 1.00 1.00 912 0 912 1.00 1.00 912	$129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 129 \\ 1.00 \\$	33 952 1.00 1.00 33 952 0 0 0 33 952 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 33 952 0 0 33 952 1.00 1.00 33 952 1.00 1.00 33 952 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	1.00 1600	1.86 2977	0.14 223	2.00 2880	2.00 3200	1.00 1600	2.00 2880	2.00 3200	1.00 1600	1.00 2.00 1600 3200	) 1.00 ) 1600
Capacity Anal Vol/Sat: OvlAdjV/S: Crit Moves: Green/Cycle: Volume/Cap: Delay/Veh:	lysis 0.15 0.32 0.46	Modul 0.21 **** 0.32 0.66 28.3	e: 0.21 0.32	0.05 **** 0.08 0.66	0.03 0.07 0.46 42.2	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0 1.00	0.05 **** 0.07 0.66 47.2	0.28		0.02 0.30	0 0.34 0.29 * 5 0.53 6 0.65 6 16.9

Traffix 8.0.0715 (c) 2008 Dowling Assoc. Licensed to TRANSTECH, WALNUT, CA

#### Attachment 3: AM and PM Peak