RTIP ID#: LA0G1679

TCWG Consideration Date: September 24, 2019

Project Description

The Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT), in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District 7 and the City of Culver City, is proposing to reconfigure the I-10/Robertson Boulevard Interchange on Interstate 10 (I-10) from Post Mile (PM) 7.5 to 8.3. The project location is shown in Figure 1. The project is designed to improve local traffic circulation to and from the freeway, and to improve operations and safety. The following four alternatives are considered and analyzed for this project.

- 1. Alternative #1 This is the No Build Alternative.
- Alternative #2 This alternative would keep the location of the existing westbound off-ramp. The
 westbound on-ramp, eastbound on-ramp, and eastbound off-ramp will be relocated and combined
 into one intersection south of I-10. South of I-10 and north of Venice Boulevard, Robertson
 Boulevard, would be converted to a 2-way street. This alternative is shown in Figure 2.
- 3. Alternative #3 This alternative would keep the location of the existing westbound off-ramp and eastbound on-ramp. The westbound on-ramp and eastbound off-ramp will be relocated and combined into one intersection south of I-10. South of I-10 and north of Venice Boulevard, Robertson Boulevard, would be converted to a 2-way street. This alternative is shown in Figure 3.
- 4. Alternative #4 This alternative would keep the location of the existing westbound off-ramp, eastbound onramp, and westbound on-ramp. The eastbound off-ramp will be relocated to the intersection of Robertson Boulevard and Robertson Place. South of I-10 and north of Venice Boulevard, Robertson Boulevard, would be converted to a 2-way street. This alternative is shown in Figure 4.

ű											
Type of Project	ct: Reconfigure	Existing	g Inte	erchange							
County	Narrative Loc	ation/R	oute	& Postmiles: 07-	-LA-10	PM 7.5/8.3	3				
Los Angeles	Caltrans Proj	trans Projects - EA#: EA 33620K - Project No. 0716000396									
Lead Agency:	Caltrans Distr	ct 7									
Contact Person Andrew Yoon, Senior Transportation Engineer				Phone# (213) 897-6117	Fax# (213) 8	397-1634	Email andrew.yoon@dot.ca.gov				
Hot Spot Pollu	Hot Spot Pollutant of Concern: PM2.5 X PM10 X										
Federal Action	Federal Action for which Project-Level PM Conformity is Needed										
Evolusion I Y		EA or FONSI or Draft EIS				S&E or onstruction	Other				
Scheduled Da	te of Federal A	ction: .	July 2	2020 (Anticipated F	FONSI)						
NEPA Assign	ment – Project	Туре									
EXEMPT			ection 326 –Cateo xemption	Х	Section 327 – Non- Categorical Exemption						
Current Progr	amming Dates	(as app	ropri	iate)							
	PE/Environm	ental		ENG	ROW			CON			
Start	06/2018			09/2021	03/2022			06/2023			
End	05/2021 03/2023 03/2023 01/2025										

Project Purpose and Need (Summary):

Purpose: The purpose of the project is to:

- Relieve traffic bottlenecks caused by the existing on/off-ramp system and the local street configuration and improve traffic operations.
- Improve safety for all modes of transportation.
- Address the adverse impacts created by traffic to and from parking facilities at Exposition Transit Corridor (EXPO) Light Rail Transit (LRT) Culver City Station.
- Accommodate traffic generated by developments in the area, including the proposed future highdensity developments adjacent to the EXPO LRT Culver City Station.
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle connectivity through the project limits.

Need: The project is needed to address the following needs, transportation deficiencies and problems:

- The interchange ramps are located on different streets, more than one block apart. As a result, the area suffers from poor traffic conditions as motorists travel circuitously to and from the freeway ramps.
- The existing ramp alignments, ramp intersections, Robertson Boulevard, and National Boulevard
 contain geometric deficiencies, which also create challenging conditions for pedestrians and
 bicyclists and discourage active transportation within the project area. Without planned
 improvements, it is anticipated that the increased daily traffic may diminish the safety for both
 motorists and non-motorists traveling within the interchange related to these geometric
 deficiencies.
- Future traffic volumes are anticipated to rise from ongoing redevelopment within the urbanized
 areas of west Los Angeles and the project vicinity. Without circulation improvements to the
 interchange, the current interchange and ramp intersections are anticipated to operate with
 excessive delays and vehicle queues extending back onto the I-10 freeway lanes.

Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators (especially effect on diesel traffic)

Diesel traffic in the project area is typically associated with commercial delivery trucks and construction activities. The project site is located within the City of Los Angeles, adjacent to the City of Culver City's northeastern boundary. The project area can be characterized as highly urbanized in nature. Development within or adjacent to the project site north of I-10 includes single- and multi-family residential uses, neighborhood commercial businesses, and public facilities. Development within the project site south of I-10 includes single- and multi-family residential uses, light industrial businesses, and neighborhood and community commercial businesses. Multiple food establishments and commercial businesses are situated both north and south of I-10 in the project area. A preschool and high school is located immediately west of Robertson Boulevard, north of I-10. The EXPO LRT Culver City Station, located south of I-10, provides opportunities for travel that are potentially impeded by this existing ramp/arterial network.

Opening Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

The project does not include the construction of a new highway or the expansion of an existing highway. There would be no change to vehicle movements or daily diesel vehicle volumes on I-10.

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

The project does not include the construction of a new highway or the expansion of an existing highway. There would be no change to vehicle movements or daily diesel vehicle volumes on I-10.

Opening Year: If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

Table 1 shows AADT and truck volumes for 2025 (Opening Year). Implementation of the project would not change the percent of trucks traveling on roadways throughout the project area and would not have any effect on I-10 mainline volumes. The project would not increase vehicle or truck traffic along a majority of the roadway segments within the project area network and small increases at certain locations would be a result of redistribution effects of the project. Table 3 located at the end of this form shows intersection delay and LOS in the Opening Year of 2025.

Table 1: Opening Year (2025) AADT and Truck Volumes

	Opening Year (2025)							
	Total A	AADT	Truck A	AADT	Truck A	Truck AADT %		
Segment	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4		
I-10 Westbound Off-Ramp	7,715	7,715	265	265	3.4%	3.4%		
I-10 Westbound On-Ramp	11,270	11,615	380	395	3.4%	3.4%		
I-10 Eastbound Off-Ramp	11,280	12,115	385	410	3.4%	3.4%		
I-10 Eastbound On-Ramp	8,780	8,780	295	295	3.4%	3.4%		
Robertson Blvd North of I-10	30,610	30,395	4,655	4,620	15.2%	15.2%		
Robertson Blvd South of I-10	12,995	12,855	1,880	1,860	14.5%	14.5%		
National Blvd North of I-10	19,340	19,240	1,895	1,885	9.8%	9.8%		
National Blvd South of I-10	26,695	26,695	3,765	3,765	14.1%	14.1%		

Source: Traffic Operations Analysis Report, June 2019.

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build crossstreet AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

Table 2 shows AADT and truck volumes for 2040 (RTP Horizon Year). Implementation of the project would not change the percent of trucks traveling on roadways throughout the project area and would not have any effect on I-10 mainline volumes. The project would not increase vehicle or truck traffic along a majority of the roadway segments within the project area network and small increases at certain locations would be a result of redistribution effects of the project. Table 4 located at the end of this form shows intersection delay and LOS in the RTP Horizon Year of 2040.

Table 2: RTP Horizon Year (2040) AADT and Truck Volumes

RTP Horizon Year (2040)								
Total A	ADT	Truck A	AADT	Truck AADT %				
No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1)	Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4			
7,948	7,783	273	265	3.4%	3.4%			
11,758	12,564	399	429	3.4%	3.4%			
11,663	12,434	396	421	3.4%	3.4%			
9,309	9,309	318	318	3.4%	3.4%			
31,908	31,411	4,850	4,778	15.2%	15.2%			
14,034	13,999	2,034	2,029	14.5%	14.5%			
22,681	22,656	2,225	2,223	9.8%	9.8%			
30,378	30,378	4,286	4,286	14.1%	14.1%			
	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1) 7,948 11,758 11,663 9,309 31,908 14,034 22,681 30,378	Alternative (Alternative 1) Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 7,948 7,783 11,758 12,564 11,663 12,434 9,309 9,309 31,908 31,411 14,034 13,999 22,681 22,656	No Build Alternative (Alternative 1) Build Alternatives (Alternative 1) No Build Alternatives (Alternative 1) 7,948 7,783 273 11,758 12,564 399 11,663 12,434 396 9,309 9,309 318 31,908 31,411 4,850 14,034 13,999 2,034 22,681 22,656 2,225 30,378 30,378 4,286	Total AADT Truck AADT No Build Alternatives (Alternative 1) Build Alternatives (Alternative 1) Build Alternatives (Alternative 1) Build Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 7,948 7,783 273 265 11,758 12,564 399 429 11,663 12,434 396 421 9,309 9,309 318 318 31,908 31,411 4,850 4,778 14,034 13,999 2,034 2,029 22,681 22,656 2,225 2,223 30,378 30,378 4,286 4,286	Total AADT Truck AADT Truck AADT No Build Alternative (Alternative 1) Build Alternative (Alternative 1) Build Alternative 2, 3, and 4 No Build Alternative 2, 3, and 4 No Build Alternative 2, 3, and 4 No Build Alternative 2, 3, and 4 Alternative 1) 7,948 7,783 273 265 3.4% 11,758 12,564 399 429 3.4% 11,663 12,434 396 421 3.4% 9,309 9,309 318 318 3.4% 31,908 31,411 4,850 4,778 15.2% 14,034 13,999 2,034 2,029 14.5% 22,681 22,656 2,225 2,223 9.8% 30,378 30,378 4,286 4,286 14.1%			

Source: Traffic Operations Analysis Report, June 2019.

Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)

Reconfiguring the interchange is necessary to enhance traffic conditions, improve freeway access, remove existing bottlenecks, and accommodate future growth that is anticipated to occur with or without the project. The project would not divert traffic to other routes, and the travel demand volume is not predicted to vary between the build and no build conditions. Thus, local traffic is not anticipated to be redistributed.

Comments/Explanation/Details

Under 40 CFR 93.123(b)—PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Hot Spots—the following criteria are utilized to determine the potential for a proposed project to qualify as a Project of Air Quality Concern.

(i) New highway projects that have a significant number of diesel vehicles, and expanded highway projects that have a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles;

The project does not include the construction of a new highway or the expansion of an existing highway. There would be no change to daily diesel vehicle volumes on I-10. Therefore, the project would not be considered a Project of Air Quality Concern under this criterion.

(ii) Projects affecting intersections that are at Level-of-Service D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles, or those that will change to Level-of-Service D, E, or F because of increased traffic volumes from a significant number of diesel vehicles related to the project;

The project is an interchange reconfiguration project that would not result in a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles at intersections operating at LOS D, E, or F within the project area relative to the No Build Alternative (Alternative 1). The intersection delay and LOS would improve or be unchanged at all analyzed intersections except at the intersection of Venice Boulevard and Natoinal Boulevard under Alternative 2 in 2025 and 2040. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, implementation of Alternative 2 would not increase truck percentage at the intersection of Venice Boulevard and National Boulevard, nor would the truck volumes be sufficient to warrant concern of PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ hot spots occurring. Average delay increasing at a single intersection will not offset the congestion relief benefits that would occur throughout the project area.

In addition, implementation of the project will only degrade the LOS conditions at the Venice Boulevard and National Boulevard intersection to D, E, or F relative to the No Build Alternative in either 2025 or 2040 because of the reconfigured roadway network, not because of an increase in diesel vehicle traffic. Implementation of the project would not increase diesel vehicle volumes at the intersection of Venice Boulevard and National Boulevard and would generally improve congestion and reduce vehicle delay throughout the reconfigured roadway network under all Build Alternatives relative to the No Build Alternative. Therefore, the project would not be considered a Project of Air Quality Concern under this criterion.

(iii) New bus and rail terminals and transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location;

The project does not include a new bus or retail terminal or transfer point. Therefore, the project would not be considered a Project of Air Quality Concern under this criterion.

(iv) Expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points that significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location; and

The project does not include the expansion of a bus or rail terminal or transfer point. Therefore, the project would not be considered a Project of Air Quality Concern under this criterion.

(v) Projects in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites which are identified in the PM10 or PM2.5 applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

The project is not in or affecting a site of PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} air quality standard violation. Therefore, the project would not be considered a Project of Air Quality Concern under this criterion.

Table 3: Opening Year (2025) Intersection Delay and LOS

	Opening Year (2025)								
	AM Delay and LOS				PM Delay and LOS				
Segment	No Build	Build Alt 2	Build Alt 3	Build Alt 4	No Build	Build Alt 2	Build Alt 3	Build Alt 4	
Robertson Blvd/Cattaraugus Ave	39.2	39.2	39.2	39.2	27.4	27.4	27.4	27.4	
	D	D	D	D	C	C	C	C	
Robertson Blvd/Kincardine Ave/I-10 WB Off-Ramp	19.4	14.3	14.3	14.3	32.4	27.7	27.7	27.7	
	B	B	B	B	C	C	C	C	
Robertson Blvd/National Blvd	48.9	37.8	48.9	48.9	68.5	48.6	68.5	68.5	
	D	D	D	D	E	D	E	E	
Robertson Blvd/Robertson Pl/I-10 WB On-Ramp	191.0 F	N/A	N/A	25.2 C	86.5 F	N/A	N/A	67.8 E	
Robertson Blvd/Robertson Pl/I-10 EB On- & Off- Ramps/I-10 WB	N/A	53.2 D	21.5 C	N/A	N/A	37.2 D	17.4 B	N/A	
Robertson Blvd/Exposition Blvd/Venice Blvd	109.0	55.4	55.7	55.7	97.9	46.3	46.7	46.7	
	F	E	E	E	F	D	D	D	
Robertson Blvd/Higuera St/ Washington Blvd	28.4	28.4	28.4	28.4	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5	
	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
Bagley Ave /National Blvd	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7	
	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
I-10 EB On-Ramp/National Blvd	11.0 B	N/A	11.0 B	11.0 B	16.1 B	N/A	16.1 B	16.1 B	
Venice Blvd/National Blvd	48.8	52.1	47.1	48.8	52.4	65.9	49.3	52.4	
	D	D	D	D	D	E	D	D	
Washington Blvd/National Blvd	45.5	45.5	45.5	45.5	58.0	58.0	58.0	58.0	
	D	D	D	D	E	E	E	E	
Culver Blvd/Venice Blvd	88.0	43.4	42.7	42.7	122.5	77.6	77.6	77.6	
	F	D	D	D	F	E	E	E	
Bagley Ave/Venice Blvd	31.9	31.9	31.9	31.9	34.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	
	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
S. Canfield Ave/ Washington Blvd/Culver Blvd	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.6	36.8	36.8	36.8	36.8	
	C	C	C	C	D	D	D	D	
Main St/Culver Blvd	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	
	A	A	A	A	B	B	B	B	
Ince Blvd/Washington Blvd	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	17.3	17.3	17.3	17.3	
	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	

Source: Traffic Operations Analysis Report, January 2019.

Table 4: RTP Horizon Year (2040) Intersection Delay and LOS

				Opening Y	Opening Year (2040)							
	AM Delay and LOS				PM Delay and LOS							
Segment	No Build	Build Alt 2	Build Alt 3	Build Alt 4	No Build	Build Alt 2	Build Alt 3	Build Alt 4				
Robertson Blvd/Cattaraugus Ave	67.2	67.2	67.2	67.2	36.1	36.1	36.1	36.1				
	E	E	E	E	D	D	D	D				
Robertson Blvd/Kincardine Ave/I-10 WB Off-Ramp	20.9	14.7	14.7	14.7	41.0	33.4	33.4	33.4				
	C	B	B	B	D	C	C	C				
Robertson Blvd/National Blvd	91.6	71.3	91.6	91.6	64.1	45.5	64.1	64.1				
	F	E	F	F	E	D	E	E				
Robertson Blvd/Robertson Pl/I-10 WB On-Ramp	223.3 F	N/A	N/A	50.4 D	110.5 F	N/A	N/A	25.4 C				
Robertson Blvd/Robertson Pl/I-10 EB On- & Off- Ramps/I-10 WB	N/A	67.2 D	18.9 B	N/A	N/A	50.6 D	13.9 B	N/A				
Robertson Blvd/Exposition Blvd/Venice Blvd	134.4	69.1	65.6	65.6	115.8	55.3	54.9	54.9				
	F	E	E	E	F	E	D	D				
Robertson Blvd/Higuera St/ Washington Blvd	63.1	63.1	63.1	63.1	35.1	35.1	35.1	35.1				
	E	E	E	E	D	D	D	D				
Bagley Ave /National Blvd	85.6	85.6	85.6	85.6	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.6				
	F	F	F	F	C	C	C	C				
I-10 EB On-Ramp/National Blvd	12.1 B	N/A	12.1 B	12.1 B	17.4 B	N/A	17.4 B	17.4 B				
Venice Blvd/National Blvd	77.3	83.0	73.1	77.3	59.9	80.8	55.4	59.9				
	E	F	E	E	E	F	E	E				
Washington Blvd/National Blvd	92.3	92.3	92.3	92.3	71.8	71.8	71.8	71.8				
	F	F	F	F	E	E	E	E				
Culver Blvd/Venice Blvd	110.0	51.7	50.5	50.5	167.0	134.5	134.5	134.5				
	F	D	D	F	F	F	F	F				
Bagley Ave/Venice Blvd	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.6	37.7	37.7	37.7	37.7				
	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D				
S. Canfield Ave/ Washington Blvd/Culver Blvd	40.5	37.8	40.5	40.5	81.0	81.0	81.0	81.0				
	D	D	D	D	F	F	F	F				
Main St/Culver Blvd	7.5	8.4	7.5	7.5	14.9	14.9	14.9	14.9				
	A	A	A	A	B	B	B	B				
Ince Blvd/Washington Blvd	24.3	24.0	24.3	24.3	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6				
	C	C	C	C	B	B	B	B				

Source: Traffic Operations Analysis Report, January 2019.







